

Inflation from String/M-Theory Compactification? *

Ishwaree P. Neupane ^a

^aDepartment of Physics, National Taiwan University, 106 Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C.

We present some exact scalar potentials for the dimensionally reduced theory and examine the possibility of obtaining accelerating $4d$ cosmology from String/M-theory, more generally, hyperbolic and flux compactification. In the hyperbolic case, even in the zero-flux limit, the scalar potential is positive for the $4d$ effective theory as required to get an accelerating universe, and thereby evading the “no-go theorem” given for static internal space. When we turn on the gauge fields as source terms at the cosmological background with potential $V \propto e^{-2c\varphi}$, we find eternally accelerating cosmologies when the $4d$ space-time is flat and $c \leq 1$, or hyperbolic and $1 < c < \sqrt{2}$.

The recent interest in time-dependent String or M-theory background via space-like branes is two folds. One is the hypothesized dS/CFT correspondence where one would like to know whether there can exist a positive extremum of the scalar potential in a time-dependent String/M-theory compactification. Another is the consensus that $4d$ cosmology should be derived from (compactification of) String/M-theory which at low energies are described by supergravities. This is also motivated from the recent observation that the present universe is undergoing accelerated expansion, as indicated by the results from Type Ia supernovae and CMB measurement by WMAP. Many effective models may be devised to explain the cosmic acceleration. A more fundamental task is to derive the $4d$ cosmology from some fundamental theory of gravity, such as, String or M-theory.

The obstacle for a de Sitter-type compactification in String theory was “no-go theorem” [1]. Given that the internal space is time-independent, and the strong energy condition $R_{00} \geq 0$ holds for 10 or 11d String or M-theory, then it holds also for a compactified theory. The condition $R_{00}^{(4)} = -\frac{3\ddot{a}}{a} \geq 0$ appears to forbid the acceleration of the $4d$ spacetime. If so, the low energy SUGRA limit of superstring theory may not give accelerating FLRW universe from compactification. However, recently, it has been shown

that it is possible to explain the cosmic acceleration of $4d$ space-time from supergravity vacuum solutions [2] or S-brane solutions [3], if one gives up the condition of time-independence of internal space, and, in addition, the internal space is hyperbolic (that is, a space having the negative scalar curvature). In the subsequent work [4–8], the idea of hyperbolic extra dimensions was found interesting enough to warrant further investigations of some of its cosmological implications, e.g., the cosmic acceleration of the present universe.

It is not difficult to understand why hyperbolic extra dimensions can give rise to a cosmic acceleration of $4d$ space-time. This is an immediate effect of the positive potentials generated by flux and hyperbolic compactifications. To be more clear, consider the bosonic part of D-dimensional supergravity with a $(q+2)$ -form field strength

$$\mathcal{L}_D = \frac{1}{16\pi G_D} \sqrt{-g_D} \left(R - \frac{8\pi G_D}{(q+2)!} F_{[q+2]}^2 \right), \quad (1)$$

where $F_{(q+2)} = dA_{(q+1)}$. We take the metric ansatz in the Einstein conformal frame [6]

$$ds_D^2 = e^{-\frac{2m}{d-2}\phi(t)} \left[-dt^2 + a(t)^2 \times \left(\frac{dr^2}{1-\epsilon_0 r^2} + r^2 d\Omega_{d-2}^2 \right) \right] + r_1^2 e^{2\phi(t)} d\Sigma_{m,\epsilon_1}^2, \quad (2)$$

and $d = q+2$. The values of $\epsilon_i = -1, 0, +1$ correspond to the hyperbolic, flat or spherical space. In $D = 11$ (i.e., $d = 4$, $m = 7$), one has 4-form anti-symmetric tensor matter fields as required by supersymmetry. One uses the 3-form potential A_{abc} to preferentially split a space-like

*Based on work done in collaborations with Chiang-Mei Chen, Pei-Ming Ho, John Wang, and Nobuyoshi Ohta. To appear in Nucl. Phys. B Proceedings Supplement of Lattice 2003 (Gravity), Tsukuba, Japan, July 2003.

three-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M}_3$ from the remaining seven-dimensional space $\mathcal{M}_7$. A_{abc} is actually required to live (i.e., to be a maximally form-invariant tensor) on $\mathcal{M}_3$ or $\mathcal{M}_7$. When we take

$$A_{abc} = \sqrt{g_3} \epsilon_{abc} A(\tau)$$

the ansatz for the field strength is $*F_{[q+2]} = 2b \text{vol}(\Sigma_{\epsilon_1, m})$, b is the field strength parameter. Upon the dimensional reduction, we find that the effective Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_d = M_d^2 \sqrt{-g_d} \left(\frac{R_{(d)}}{2} - \Lambda_d + K - V(\phi) \right), \quad (3)$$

where the kinetic and potential terms are

$$K = -\frac{\lambda}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi, \quad (4)$$

$$\Lambda_d = -\epsilon_0 \frac{(d-2)(d-3)}{2a^2} \quad (5)$$

$$V(\phi) = b^2 e^{-\frac{2(d-1)m}{d-2}\phi} - \frac{m(m-1)\epsilon_1}{2r_1^2} e^{-\frac{2\lambda}{m}\phi(t)}, \quad (6)$$

with $\lambda \equiv \frac{m(m+d-2)}{(d-2)}$. The total potential V is split into Λ_d and $V(\phi)$; Λ_d comes from the d -dimensional space-time curvature. Of course, $\Lambda_2 = \Lambda_3 = 0$ even if $\epsilon_0 \neq 0$. It turns out that the negatively curved geometry of the internal space (i.e., $\epsilon_1 = -1$) gives a positive exponential potential $V(\phi)$ in d -dimensions [5–7]. Indeed, from an effective $4d$ theory viewpoint, a scalar potential which is positive in all (or some) regions of field space is a must to get an accelerating universe from String/M-theory compactification.

Consider that the $4d$ space-time is flat ($\epsilon_0 = 0$), and the internal space is a product of two or more non-trivial curved spaces of dimensions $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n$, with $m = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i$, $\phi_i = \phi_i(t)$. For $b = 0$, the kinetic and potential terms are

$$K = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \lambda_i \dot{\phi}_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i>j=1}^n m_i m_j \dot{\phi}_i \dot{\phi}_j, \quad (7)$$

$$\Lambda_4 = 0, \quad V(\phi) = \beta_i e^{-\frac{2\lambda_i}{m_i}\phi_i} e^{-\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1 \leq j \leq n}{m_j} m_j \phi_j} \quad (8)$$

where $\lambda_i = \frac{m_i(m_i+2)}{2}$, $\beta_i = -\sum_i \epsilon_i \frac{m_i(m_i-1)}{2r_i^2}$, and $\dot{\phi} = d\phi/dt$. The kinetic term can easily be diagonalized and normalized by a field re-definition. In the simplest case of two non-trivial internal spaces Σ_{m_1, ϵ_1} , Σ_{m_2, ϵ_2} , we have

$$K = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \dot{\varphi}_i^2, \quad V(\varphi) = \beta_i e^{-2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \alpha_{ij} \varphi_j}, \quad (9)$$

where

$$\varphi_1 = p\phi_1 + \frac{m_1 m_2}{2p} \phi_2, \quad \varphi_2 = q\phi_2, \quad (10)$$

$$\alpha_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} p/m_1 & 0 \\ m_1/p & q/m_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

$$p \equiv \sqrt{\frac{m_1(m_1+2)}{2}}, \quad q \equiv \sqrt{\frac{m_2(m_1+m_2+2)}{m_1+2}}. \quad (12)$$

To the $4d$ field equations derived for the kinetic and potential terms given in (9), we can find exact solutions only in the two cases: when the internal space is a product of (i) two similar spaces, e.g., $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = -1$, and (ii) flat and hyperbolic (or spherical) spaces, e.g., $\epsilon_1 = 0$, $\epsilon_2 = -1$. In fact, if we assume $r_1 = r_2$, the solutions will be further restricted, in which case we require $m_1 = m_2$.

For a single internal space, from the action (3) we find that the φ equation of motion is

$$c(\ddot{\varphi} + 3H\dot{\varphi}) + 2\epsilon_1 c^2 e^{-2c\varphi} - 3\tilde{b}^2 e^{-(6/c)\varphi} = 0, \quad (13)$$

while the Friedman equation is

$$3H^2 = \dot{\varphi}^2 - 2\epsilon_1 e^{-2c\varphi} - \frac{3\epsilon_0}{a^2} + \tilde{b}^2 e^{-(6/c)\varphi}, \quad (14)$$

where $c \equiv \sqrt{\frac{m+2}{m}}$ and $H = \dot{a}(t)/a(t)$. The above φ is related to the original ϕ by the relation

$$\varphi = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \phi - \frac{1}{c} \ln \frac{\sqrt{m(m-1)}}{2} \quad (15)$$

and $\tilde{b} = b(4/m(m-1))^{3/2c^2}$.

Let us first consider the case where the external space is flat (i.e., $\epsilon_0 = 0$) and the internal space is hyperbolic (i.e., $\epsilon_1 = -1$), and $b = 0$. In this case, one finds convenient to define

$$d\tau = e^{-c\varphi} dt, \quad \alpha(\tau) = \ln(a(t)). \quad (16)$$

A class of accelerating solutions as implied by the equations (13), (14), (see also Ref. [2]), is

$$\alpha' = \frac{d\alpha(\tau)}{d\tau} = \frac{2\lambda - (6\lambda_+ \cosh^2(\gamma\tau) - 1)\gamma}{\sinh(2\gamma\tau)}, \quad (17)$$

$$e^{-\varphi} = (\cosh \gamma\tau)^{-\sqrt{3}\lambda_-} (\sinh \gamma\tau)^{\sqrt{3}\lambda_+}, \quad (18)$$

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}(\sqrt{3} \pm c)}, \quad \gamma = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6\lambda_+ \lambda_-}}. \quad (19)$$

These yield, for $\tau > 0$, in the units $r_1 = 1$,

$$H = \frac{da/dt}{a} = e^{-c\varphi} \alpha'(\tau) > 0, \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{e^{2c\varphi}}{2\gamma^2} \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = \frac{2(c^2-1)}{c^2-3} + \frac{2\sqrt{3}c(2\cosh^2 \gamma\tau - 1) - c^2 - 3}{3(3-c^2)(\cosh^2 \gamma\tau - 1) \cosh^2 \gamma\tau} \quad (21)$$

Although only $c > 1$ arises in hyperbolic compactification, one may consider the critical value $c = 1$, which separates qualitatively the different cosmologies. Likewise, $c = \sqrt{3}$, so-called ‘hyper-critical’ (see [2] and references therein) separates hyperbolic from flux compactification, which is a special case, and practically we take here $1 < c < \sqrt{3}$. Interestingly, when $c = 1$ and $\tau > 0.2746$, the solution is always accelerating (there also exists an eternally decelerating universe for $\tau < -0.2746$). While, the solution with $c > 1$ is only transiently accelerating.

For the compactification of 11-dimensional supergravity on a 7-dimensional compact hyperbolic space, one has $c = 3/\sqrt{7}$, and hence the condition for acceleration ($\ddot{a}/a > 0$) is satisfied in a (symmetric) interval

$$0.4296 \lesssim |\tau| \lesssim 1.4025$$

However, only for an interval of positive τ , the $4d$ space-time is (transiently) accelerating. Note that in the interval $-1.4025 < \tau < -0.4296$, the universe is contracting since $\dot{a}/a < 0$.

Turn to the case of $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_1 = -1$. In the zero-flux limit, it is easy to find the exact solution [7]

$$a = \frac{c}{\sqrt{c^2 - 1}} t \equiv a_0, \quad \varphi = \frac{1}{c} \ln(ct) \equiv \varphi_0. \quad (22)$$

This solution itself is not accelerating since $\ddot{a}(t) = 0$, but, to the lowest order, where a non-zero field strength parameter $b > 0$ serves as a source term, the solution is accelerating when $c < \sqrt{2}$. For example, when $c = \sqrt{4/3}$, we find

$$a(t) \sim a_0 + \frac{0.78 \tilde{b}^2}{t^{3/2}}, \quad \varphi \sim \varphi_0 + \frac{0.74 \tilde{b}^2}{t^{5/2}}. \quad (23)$$

One may verify numerically, without turning to perturbation, that the system of equations (13), (14) gives an eternally accelerating expansion. The existence of a period of acceleration in a $\epsilon_0 = -1$, $\epsilon_1 = 0$ cosmology was noted before [9].

We have derived some exact scalar potentials for the dimensionally reduced theory, analyze some simple exponential potentials from the viewpoint of $4d$ cosmology, and examine the possibility of generating inflation/acceleration from flux and hyperbolic compactifications, in general. To

most ansatzs for metric decomposition, the acceleration of $4d$ space-time is transient, and leads to only a few e-foldings. However, in the presence of matter fields, this may be implemented to explain the late-time inflation of the universe, which may require some fine-tuning among dark energy density, mass of the massive Kaluza-Klein modes and effective dimensionality of the universe.

A more promising aspect of the hyperbolic compactification is that, in a non-trivial form-field background, an exponential potential $V \propto e^{-2c\varphi}$, with $1 \leq c < \sqrt{2}$, can give eternally accelerating cosmologies.

Acknowledgment

I wish to thank Chiang-Mei Chen, Pei-Ming Ho, Nobuyoshi Ohta and John Wang for fruitful collaborations and Paul Townsend for helpful correspondences. I am grateful to the organizers of the Lattice 2003 and NCTS, Taiwan for their generous support.

REFERENCES

1. G. W. Gibbons, GIFT Seminar 1984, pp. 123-146, (QCD161:G2:1984); J.M. Maldacena and C. Nunez, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **16** (2001) 822.
2. P.K. Townsend and M.N.R. Wohlfarth, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91** (2003) 061302; P.K. Townsend, hep-th/0308149.
3. N. Ohta, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91** (2003) 061303.
4. N. Ohta, Prog. Theor. Phys. **110** (2003) 269; see also, S. Roy, hep-th/0304084 (v4); M.N.R. Wohlfarth, Phys. Lett. B **563** (2003) 1; *ibid*, hep-th/0307179.
5. R. Emparan and J. Garriga, JHEP **0305** (2003) 028.
6. C.-M. Chen, P.-M. Ho, I.P. Neupane, and J.E. Wang, JHEP, **0307** (2003) 017.
7. C.-M. Chen, P.-M. Ho, I.P. Neupane, N. Ohta, and J.E. Wang, hep-th/0306291.
8. M. Gutperle, R. Kallosh and A. Linde, JCAP **0307** (2003) 001.
9. L. Cornalba and M.S. Costa, Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 066001.